

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,064	12/13/2001	Robert Henry Rohrbaugh	8803	9885
27752	7590 09/09/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			EXAMINER	
	TUAL PROPERTY DIV ILL TECHNICAL CEN	BRUNSMAN, DAVID M		
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1755	/.
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	Þ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS-6	•
Γ AL.	
ddress	
ly. xxmmunication.	
ne merits is	
ner.	
Stage	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/020,064	ROHRBAUGH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David M Brunsman	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE Zero MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
· <u> </u>					
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1				
8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or e Application Papers	election requirement.	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		niner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	•			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	. ,			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		vou sy the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority direct 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(d)	, (a) o. (i).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	: have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/020,064

Art Unit: 1755

This application has be reassigned to Primary Examiner David M. Brunsman. All previous restriction requirements are withdrawn in favor of the following complete requirement.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 24,25, drawn to a film classified in class 106, subclass 287.35.
- II. Claims 13-23 and 26-31, drawn to a coating method, classified in class 427, subclass 180.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the film could be deposited from a non-aqueous suspension.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1-31 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising inventions using the nanoparticles set forth at page 7, line 25 through page 12, line 29 of the instant specification. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant must elect a single invention by specifying a single disclosed material, such as disk shaped fluorohectorite, for examination. Upon election, the examiner will expand the scope of consideration to be commensurate with the scope of search and examination required for the elected claims.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/020,064

Art Unit: 1755

the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under

35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Jeffery V. Bamber on 06 September 2003 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to David M Brunsman whose telephone number is 703-308-3454. The examiner can normally

be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark

Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755

DMB